

1 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK
2 Robert P. Spretnak, Esq. (Bar No. 5135)
3 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200
4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89123
Telephone: (702) 454-4900
Fax: (702) 938-1055
Email: bob @ spretnak.com

5 Attorney for Allison Welder

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ALLISON WELDER,
Plaintiff,
vs.
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN NEVADA,
a Nevada non-profit corporation; and
RENEE COFFMAN,
Defendants.

}
Case No.: 2:10-cv-01811-LRH-LRL
}
}
}
}
}
}
**STIPULATED DISCOVERY PLAN
AND SCHEDULING ORDER**

SPECIAL SCHEDULING REVIEW REQUESTED¹

6 Plaintiff ALLISON WELDER and Defendants UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN NEVADA,
7 a Nevada non-profit corporation; and RENEE COFFMAN, by and through their respective counsel
8 of record, participated in the meeting required under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), which was held on
9 **December 6, 2010.** Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), the parties do hereby stipulate to the following
20 discovery plan and scheduling order:

21 1. The initial disclosures to be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. 26(a)(1) shall be made by
22 **December 20, 2010**, 14 days after the date that the parties held their initial meeting pursuant to Fed.
23 R. Civ. P. 26(f).

¹ The only basis for requesting special review of this proposed Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order is the requested 240-day discovery period. The parties are jointly requesting an extended discovery period due to the number of claims set forth in the complaint, the anticipated volume of documentation that may be exchanged, and the number of witnesses who may be material to the claims and defenses at issue in this matter.

1 2. Unless otherwise limited by subsequent stipulations, the parties shall be allowed to
 2 conduct discovery to the full extent permitted under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3 3. The attorneys of record in this matter are registered for electronic filing with this
 4 Court. Any documents electronically filed with this Court are deemed to be sufficiently served on
 5 the other party as of the date that the document is electronically filed with this Court.

6 4. The parties agree to be bound by Fed. R. Evid. 502 regarding the disclosure of
 7 privileged material or work product. Further, the parties acknowledge and agree that while each is
 8 taking reasonable steps to identify and prevent disclosure of any document which they believe is
 9 privileged, given the volume and nature of material being exchanged, there is a possibility that
 10 certain privileged material may be produced inadvertently. Accordingly, the parties agree that a
 11 party who produces a document protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney-
 12 work product doctrine or any other recognized privilege (“privileged document”) without intending
 13 to waive the claim of privilege associated with such document may promptly, meaning within fifteen
 14 (15) days after the producing party actually discovers that such inadvertent disclosure occurred,
 15 amend its discovery response and notify the other party that such document was inadvertently
 16 produced and should have been withheld. Once the producing party provides such notice to the
 17 requesting party, the requesting party must promptly, meaning within 72 hours, return the specified
 18 document(s) and any copies thereof. By complying with this obligation, the requesting party does
 19 not waive any right to challenge the assertion of privilege and request an order of the Court denying
 20 such privilege.

21 Pursuant to LR 26-1(e), the parties do hereby additionally stipulate to the following discovery
 22 plan and scheduling order:

23 1. Discovery Cut-Off Date: **July 14, 2011**, 240 days from the date that Defendant
 24 University of Southern Nevada filed its answer on November 16, 2010.

25 2. Amending the Pleadings and Adding Parties: All motions to amend the pleadings or
 26 to add parties shall be filed not later than **April 15, 2011**, 90 days prior to the scheduled close of
 27 discovery.

28

1 3. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2) Disclosures (Experts): Disclosures concerning experts shall
 2 be made by **May 16, 2011**, 60 days before the close of discovery. Disclosures respecting rebuttal
 3 experts shall be made by **June 15, 2011**, 30 days after the initial disclosure of experts.

4 4. Dispositive Motions: The date for filing dispositive motions shall be not later than
 5 **August 15, 2011**, 30 days after the discovery cut-off date. In the event that the discovery period is
 6 extended from the discovery cut-off date set forth in this Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling
 7 Order, the date for filing dispositive motions shall be extended for the same duration, to be not later
 8 than 30 days from the subsequent discovery cut-off date.

9 5. Pretrial Order: The date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be not later than
 10 **September 14, 2011**, 30 days after the date set for filing dispositive motions. In the event that
 11 dispositive motions are filed, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be suspended until 30
 12 days after decision on the dispositive motions or until further order of the court. In the further event
 13 that the discovery period is extended from the discovery cut-off date set forth in this Stipulated
 14 Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order, the date for filing the joint pretrial order shall be extended
 15 in accordance with the time periods set forth in this paragraph.

16 6. E-Discovery: Pursuant to the electronic discovery amendments to the Federal Rules
 17 of Civil Procedure, the parties addressed potential e-discovery issues pertaining to the format of the
 18 discovery. The parties do not anticipate that this case will require the inspection or production of
 19 electronically stored information (“ESI”) or native files or metadata at this time, but each reserves
 20 the right to make a showing for the need of such electronic data as discovery progresses. To the
 21 extent a party requests ESI, the parties agree the ESI can be produced in paper format, or as an image
 22 file (e.g., .pdf or .tif file format), unless otherwise specified.

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 7. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(3) Disclosures: The disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P.
2 26(a)(3), and any objections thereto, shall be included in the pretrial order.

3
4 DATED: December 9, 2010.

5 LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT P. SPRETNAK

6 By: /s/ Robert P. Spretnak
7 Robert P. Spretnak, Esq. (Bar No. 5135)

8 Attorney for Plaintiff

9 8275 S. Eastern Avenue, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89123

10 DATED: December 9, 2010.

11 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, SMOAK &
12 STEWART, P.C.

13 By: /s/ Jill Garcia
14 Jill Garcia, Esq. (Bar No. 7805)
Christina M. Mallatt, Esq. (Bar No. 9112)

15 Attorneys for Defendants

16 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 

19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

20 12-16-10